

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,644	01/02/2004	Alicia Bythewood	AB-2-js 1605		
7590 02/01/2005			EXAM	INER	
Michael I. Kroll			AMERSON, LORI BAKER		
171 Stillwell Lane Syosset, NY 11791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3764		
			DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/750,64	14	BYTHEWOOD ET AL.				
		Examin r		Art Unit				
		L Amerso		3764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status					•			
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 January 2004.							
2a)□	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4 and 13-15 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 5-12 is/are objected to.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 02 January 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	it(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate : atent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/750,644 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification -

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. **The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided**. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because line 1, "The present invention recites a an exercise" should read —An exercise—. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: "10" should read –1--. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- a. Claims 1-4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Parmater. Parmater discloses an apparatus having a back support member with a first side (50-fig. 1), a headrest having a first side (52)

Application/Control Number: 10/750,644

Art Unit: 3764

and a means for supporting a neck and head of the user (58). Regarding the language "for use in performing abdominal exercises", "for supporting a back of a user", "for resting a head of a user there against and", "wherein when a user performs an abdominal exercise, said supporting means supports said headrest thereby preventing neck and cervical strain" has not been given patentable weight because the limitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure. As to claim 2, the device further comprising a cradle (54) connected between the supporting means and headrest. Regarding the language "for providing additional neck support and comfort to the user" has not been given patentable weight because the limitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure. As to claim 3, further comprising means for adjusting the supporting means (62,64). Regarding the language "for provided by said supporting means" has not been given patentable weight because the limitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure. As to claim 4, the supporting means is a spring (fig. 1). As to claim comprising at least one handle (38) pivotally connected to the back support. Regarding the language "for providing stability during abdominal exercises" has not been given patentable weight because the limitations are purely functional in nature and do not recite any structure.

Page 3

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/750,644

Art Unit: 3764

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

b. Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parmater as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Gehrke.
Parmater discloses all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for telescopic support legs. Thus Gehrke teaches telescopic support legs (fig. 1-16).
It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include adjustable legs on the device of Parmater in view of the teaching of Gehrke such that legs are capable of being configured to adapt to a variety of different sized users utilizing the device.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5-12 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L Amerson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4971. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri from 9-6 p.m. Interviews Tue. And Thur.. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,644

Art Unit: 3764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

**D**\_